A STRATEGY FOR THE ASYMMETRIC SYNTHESIS OF MEDIUM RING OXYGEN HETEROCYCLES: ENANTIOSELECTIVE TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (+)-OCTAHYDRODEACETYLDEBROMOLAURENCIN

J. Stephen Clark and Andrew B. Holmes*

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, CAMBRIDGE CB2 1EW, U.K.

Summary: The asymmetric synthesis of the laurencin degradation product (2) by methylenation of the chiral heptanolide (5), conformationally controlled hydroboration of the enol ether (6), to give exclusively the *cis*alcohol (7), and introduction of the pentyl side chain, demonstrates a new approach to the enantioselective synthesis of 2,8-disubstituted oxocanes, and confirms the absolute configuration of laurencin (1).

In recent years there has been an explosion of interest in biologically active natural products of marine origin. Many of the most interesting examples contain medium ring ethers,¹ as typified by the *Laurencia* metabolite laurencin (1).² Although, traditionally, the efficient synthesis of medium ring ethers has been an elusive goal,³ several exciting solutions have emerged during the past two years.⁴ The difficulty associated with these synthetic targets is illustrated in the only reported synthesis of (\pm)-laurencin.⁵ In contrast, Overman and Thompson's recent synthesis of (-)-laurenyne illustrates the potential of new synthetic methodology.⁶

In this Letter we describe the first asymmetric synthesis of a saturated 2,8-disubstituted oxocane system as illustrated in the laurencin degradation product (2). Our approach is based on the previously undescribed enantioselective synthesis of 7-substituted heptanolides, followed by efficient lactone methylenation, and a remarkably highly diastereoselective hydroboration of the enol ether, employing medium ring conformational stereocontrol.



The chiral heptanolide (5) was obtained in high enantiomeric purity by the Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of 2(R)-ethylcycloheptanone (4). Parallel studies with side chain homologues of (4) have shown that high stereocontrol can be achieved in this oxidation without racemisation of the chiral ketone by using carefully controlled conditions employing NaH₂PO₄-buffered CF₃CO₃H generated from >85% H₂O₂.⁷ The chiral ketone (4) was obtained by a sequence involving diastereoselective low temperature alkylation of the SAMP-hydrazone (3),⁸ followed by ozonolytic removal of the chiral auxiliary (Scheme 1).



Reagents: (i) Lithium diisopropylamide, 0 °C, THF, 16 h; (ii) EtI, -95 °C, 3 h (87%); (iii) O₃, CH₂Cl₂, 15 min (68%); (iv) CF₃CO₃H, NaH₂PO₄, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C to R.T. (70%).

Methylenation of the chiral lactone (5) with the Tebbe reagent⁹ under modified conditions in which $N_{\rm e}N_{\rm e}$ dimethylaminopyridine was used to generate the reactive alkylidene species gave a very labile enol ether (6). This was immediately hydroborated using the bulky borane reagent, diisoamylborane, to give, after oxidation, exclusively (analysis by capillary g.c.) the cis-isomer $(7)^{10}$ (Scheme 2) in good yield. By contrast hydroboration of racemic (6) with borane-THF complex yielded (7) and (10) in a ratio of 8:1. The minimum energy boat-chair conformation of (6), as calculated by Still's Macromodel¹¹ version of MM2, exposes the double bond to attack from a direction favouring the formation of *cis*-products, and this preference would be enhanced for bulky organoborane reagents. The evidence for the cis-product depends on three observations. The 3,5-dinitrobenzoate derived from the major alcohol (7) exhibits a positive n.O.e between the protons at C-2 and C-8 whereas the corresponding derivative of (10) shows no such effect. Equilibration in base of the aldehyde (9) derived from the minor alcohol favours the major (cis) compound (8) (8:1) at equilibrium (Scheme 3) in accord with the sense predicted by calculation of ground state minimum energies of the two aldehydes.¹¹ Finally, laurencin (1) has been been shown unambiguously by X-ray analysis¹² to have the cis-2,8-stereochemistry. It follows that the degradation product (2) has the same relative stereochemistry. Synthetic (2), derived from the major alcohol (7), is shown in the present work to be identical with an authentic sample.



SCHEME 2

Reagents: (i) $Cp_2Ti \begin{pmatrix} Cn_2 \\ AlMe_2 \end{pmatrix}$, dimethylaminopyridine, THF, -40 °C to R.T.; (ii) 15% NaOH (aq.), CI -15 °C to R.T., 1 h; (iii) Sia₂BH, 0 °C, THF, 3 h; (iv) 3N NaOH, 30% H₂O₂ (44% from 5); (v) Pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), 3Å molecular sieves, CH₂Cl₂, 1 h; (vi) C₅H₁₁MgBr, 0 °C, Et₂O (52% from 7); (vii) Li⁶Bu₃BH, THF, - 78 °C (79%). Completion of the synthesis of (2) required addition of pentylmagnesium bromide to the enantiomerically pure aldehyde (8). This gave a 1.5:1 mixture of (2) and (11). Attempts were made to improve the selectivity of formation of (2) over (11) by using magnesium bromide chelation control and organocuprate addition¹³ to (8), but no significant improvement in selectivity was observed. However (2) was obtained with greater than 94% diastereoselectivity when the ketone (12) was reduced with L-Selectride.¹⁴ The product { $[\alpha]_D + 19.6^\circ$ (c = 1.52, CHCl₃, 21 °C} was identical in all respects (I.R., N.M.R., and t.l.c.)¹⁰ with an authentic sample of (2) { $[\alpha]_D + 21.5^\circ$ (c = 1.86, CHCl₃, 18 °C}.² The relative stereochemistry of (8) and (9) are respectively established as *cis* and *trans* as described above. The absolute stereochemistry of (8) is established by the extensive previous investigations of Enders.⁸ The absolute configuration of laurencin was previously assigned by an empirical method² and by X-ray analysis.¹² The presently reported synthesis of (2) establishes its absolute configuration and independently confirms the absolute configuration of laurencin. This is significant because the absolute configuration assigned to laurenyne (a related *Laurencia* metabolite) by X-ray methods has recently had to be revised as a result of its total synthesis.⁶

In summary, the synthesis of (2) demonstrates the applicability of the method to the asymmetric synthesis of 2,8-disubstituted oxocanes and confirms the absolute configuration of laurencin.

We thank S.E.R.C. for supporting this research, Merck, Sharp & Dohme, Harlow for generous financial support, Professor R.H. Grubbs for advice on the Tebbe reagent, Professor D. Enders for experimental detail on reactions of (3), and Dr A. Fukuzawa and Professor T. Masamune (Hokkaido) for re-isolating (1) and converting it into (2).



SCHEME 3

REFERENCES AND FOOTNOTES

1. R.E. Moore in *Marine Natural Products*, P.J. Scheuer, Ed., Academic Press, 1978, Vol. 1, Ch. 2, p. 43; K.K. Erickson, *ibid*, 1983, Vol. 5, p. 131; D.J. Faulkner, *Natl. Prod. Rep.*, 1984, 1, 251; 1986, 3, 1; 1987, 4, 539.

2. T. Irie, M. Suzuki, and T. Masamune, Tetrahedron, 1968, 24, 4193.

3. J.A. Moore and F.A.L. Anet in *Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry*, A.R. Katritzky and C.W. Rees, Eds., Pergamon, Oxford, 1984, Vol. 7, Ch. 5.19, pp. 653-707.

4. R.W. Carling and A.B. Holmes, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 565; K.C. Nicolaou, M.E. Duggan, and C.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2468; L.E. Overman, A. Castaneda, and A.S. Thompson, *ibid*, 1986, 108, 3516; M.C. Pirrung and J.A. Werner, *ibid*, 1986, 108, 6060; K.C. Nicolaou, C.-K. Hwang, M.E. Duggan, K. Bal Reddy, B.E. Marron, and D.G. McGarry, *ibid*, 1986, 108, 6800; R.W. Carling and A.B. Holmes, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1986, 27, 6133; M. Mortimore, G.S. Cockerill, P. Kocienski, and R. Treadgold, *ibid*, 1987, 28, 3747; J. Pornet, D. Damour, and L. Miginiac, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, 42, 2017; K.C. Nicoloau, D.G. Mcgarry, P.K. Somers, C.A. Veale, and G.T. Furst, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 2504.

5. T. Masamune, H. Matsue, and H. Murase, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 127; T. Masamune, H. Murase, H. Matsue, and A. Murai, *ibid*, 1979, 52, 135.

6. L.E. Overman and A.S. Thompson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 2248.

7. This procedure was used to prepare 7(R)-pentylheptanolide, which, upon methanolysis and subsequent derivatisation of the resulting secondary alcohol as the Mosher ester, contained an e.e. of >94% (R)-enantiomer: A.B. Holmes, N.D. Pearson, and G.Slim, unpublished investigations.

8. D. Enders and H. Eichenauer, Chem. Ber., 1979, 112, 2933; D. Enders in Asymmetric Synthesis, H. Morrison, Ed., Academic press, 1984, Vol. 3, Ch. 4, pp. 275-339.

9. F.N. Tebbe, G.W. Parshall, and G.S. Reddy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 3611; S.H. Pine, R. Zahler, D.A. Evans, and R.H. Grubbs, *ibid*, 1980, 102, 3270; K.A. Brown-Wensley, S.L. Buchwald, L. Cannizzo, L. Clawson, S. Ho, D. Meinhardt, J.R. Stille, D. Straus, and R.H. Grubbs, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1983, 55, 1733 and references cited therein.

10. Selected spectroscopic data for (2): ¹H δ (CDCl₃) 0.88 (3H, t, J 7 Hz), 0.95 (3H, t, J 7.5 Hz), 1.25-1.78 (20H, m), 2.71 (1H, d, J 2 Hz), 3.27-3.42 (3H, m); ¹³C δ (CDCl₃) 10.9, 14.1, 22.6, 23.4, 23.7, 25.4, 27.1, 29.2, 30.6, 32.0, 32.1, 33.3, 74.8, 82.2, 82.3.

Selected spectroscopic data for (7): ¹H δ (CDCl₃) 0.92 (3H, t, J 7.4 Hz), 1.29-1.81 (12H, m), 2.18 (1H, s), 3.34-3.50 (3H, m), 3.52-3.62 (1H, m); ¹³C δ (CDCl₃) 10.8, 23.9, 23.9, 27.3, 29.6, 30.4, 33.1, 66.5, 80.3, 82.2; [α]_D (c 0.921, CHCl₃) + 7.9°.

All new compounds exhibited spectroscopic and analytical data consistent with the assigned structure.

11. W.C. Still and I. Galynker, Tetrahedron, 1981, 37, 3981; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 1774.

12. A. Forbes Cameron, K.K. Cheung, G. Ferguson, and J. Monteath Robertson, J. Chem. Soc. (B), 1969, 559.

13. C.W. Jefford, D. Jaggi, and J. Boukouvalas, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 4011.

14. H. Iida, N. Yamazaki, and C. Kibayashi, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3769.

(Received in UK 13 July 1988)